Dear Editor,

The recent manuscript analyzing whether there was a correlation between cyclosporine levels and dyslipidemia after renal transplantation in 1391 kidney transplant recipients (1) was read with great interest. Dyslipidemia is a common problem after renal transplantation and a potentially modifiable factor (2). This is particularly important because cardiovascular morbidity significantly contributes to the below-average life expectancy found in renal transplant patients (3).

In their cross-sectional study of 1391 subjects, Hosseini et al. found a very high prevalence of hypercholesterolemia (58.8%) and hypertriglyceridemia (86.6%) (1). Using univariate correlation analysis, they found only a weak correlation between cyclosporine levels two hours post-intake (C2) and dyslipidemia (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.18 and 0.16 for hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridemia, respectively). C2-monitoring is the preferred method for assessing cyclosporine exposure, although there are some challenges with timely C2 blood sampling, and some patients may suffer toxicity or rejection when switching from trough level (C0) to C2 monitoring (4). Using logistic multivariate regression, only serum creatinine was associated with hyperlipidemia. Hosseini’s study did not assess the correlation of steroid levels or the steroid dose and dyslipidemia, even though steroid therapy is a well-known risk factor for hyperlipidemia and steroid avoidance has been a powerful tool to reduce the prevalence of hyperlipidemia in liver transplantation (5).

Hosseini’s study has several other limitations. No validation of the fasting state occurred. The results of the logistic regression were not well documented, and it is unclear what factors were included in the multivariate analysis. The limitations of the cross-sectional retrospective study are not well discussed, and such a study can only assess an association, no causality. Nonetheless, the stronger correlation of the hypertriglyceridemia with the GFR (measured as serum creatinine) is interesting; it is disappoint-
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